arXiv:1610.00050v2 [math.AP] 17 Oct 2016

# UPPER SEMICONTINUITY OF THE LAMINATION HULL

TERENCE L. J. HARRIS

Abstract. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ be a compact set, let $K^{rc}$ be its rank-one convex hull, and let $L(K)$ be its lamination convex hull. It is shown that the mapping $K \to \overline{L(K)}$ is not upper semicontinuous on the diagonal matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$, which was a problem left by Kolář. This is followed by an example of a five-point set of $2 \times 2$ symmetric matrices with non-compact lamination hull. Finally, an example of another five-point set $K$ is given, which has $L(K)$ connected, compact and strictly smaller than $K^{rc}$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ denote the space of $m \times n$ matrices with real entries. Two matrices $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(X-Y) = 1$ are called *rank-one connected*. A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is *lamination convex* if

$$\lambda X + (1-\lambda)Y \in S \text{ for all } \lambda \in [0,1],$$

whenever $X, Y \in S$ are rank-one connected. For a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$, the smallest lamination convex set containing $K$ is denoted by $L(K)$.

This work contains a counterexample to a question left by Kolář in [4], concerning the continuity of the mapping $K \to \overline{L(K)}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$. This is followed by a simpler, five-point example of a compact set $K$ of symmetric $2\times 2$ matrices with non-compact $L(K)$, based on the example of Aumann and Hart in [1]. Then, a five-point set $K$ with $L(K)$ connected, compact and strictly smaller than $K^{rc}$, is constructed.

## 2. Main results

Define the Hausdorff distance between two compact sets $K_1, K_2$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ by

$$\rho(K_1, K_2) = \inf\{\epsilon \geq 0 : K_1 \subseteq U_\epsilon(K_2) \text{ and } K_2 \subseteq U_\epsilon(K_1)\},$$

where $U_\epsilon(K)$ is the open $\epsilon$-neighbourhood of $K$, corresponding to the Euclidean distance. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$. A function $f : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ is upper semicontinuous if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $f(K) \subseteq U_\epsilon(f(K_0))$ whenever $\rho(K, K_0) < \delta$. The following result is well known, see for example the proof of Theorem 1 in [5], or Example 4.18 in [3].

**Proposition 2.1.** *The mapping $K \to K^{rc}$ is upper semicontinuous on $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$.*

The next result shows that this fails on diagonal matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$, for the lamination convex hull.

*Key words and phrases.* Lamination convexity, rank-one convexity.

*Maths Subject Classification (2000):* 49J45 and 52A30.

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects funding scheme (project DP140100531).

**Theorem 2.2.** *There exists a compact set $K_0$ of diagonal matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ such that the mapping $K \to \overline{L(K)}$ is not upper semicontinuous at $K_0$.*

*Proof.* Identify the space of $2 \times 2$ diagonal matrices with $\mathbb{R}^2$ in the natural way. Let

$$K_0 = \{(1,0)\} \cup \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{3}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right), \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{3}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\}.$$

The set $K_0$ is compact and has no rank-one connections, thus $L(K_0) = K_0$. For each $n \geq 0$ let

$$P_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right).$$

Given $\delta > 0$, choose $N > 0$ large enough to ensure $\frac{1}{2^N} < \delta$, and let $K = K_0 \cup \{P_N\}$, so that $\rho(K, K_0) < \delta$. Then

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^N}, \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2^{N+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}P_N \in L(K),$$

and hence

$$P_{N-1} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^N}, \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^N}, \frac{3}{2^{N+1}}\right) \in L(K).$$

It follows by induction that $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = P_0 \in L(K)$. Since $\rho(P_0, L(K_0)) \geq \frac{1}{4}$, this shows that the mapping $K \to \overline{L(K)}$ is not upper semicontinuous at $K_0$. □

A set of five upper-triangular matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ with non-compact lamination hull is pictured in Figure 1. It is based on the example in [1]. It consists of 4 points in the diagonal plane arranged in a $T_4$ configuration, together with a point whose projection onto the diagonal plane is a corner of the inner rectangle of the $T_4$ configuration. In the figure, the upper triangular matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$ is identified with the point $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

The next example of a five-point set of symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ with non-compact lamination hull uses essentially the same idea as the example in Figure 1, so the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z & y \end{pmatrix}$ will throughout this section be identified with the point $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. This conflicts with the usual notation, used for example in [4]. The main difference between the symmetric and the upper triangular case is that the off-diagonal terms of a symmetric matrix influence the determinant. This means the rank-one lines are no longer perpendicular to each other, as in Figure 1.

**Theorem 2.3.** *There exists a five-point set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}_{\text{sym}}$ such that $L(K)$ is not compact.*

*Proof.* Let $x_1 < x_2$, $y_2 < y_1$, $z_0 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 > 0$. Let

$$P_0 = (x_1, y_2, 0), \quad P_1 = (x_1, y_1, 0), \quad P_2 = (x_2, y_1, 0), \quad P_3 = (x_2, y_2, 0),$$

and set

$$A_0 = (x_1, y_1 + \alpha_0, 0), \quad A_1 = (x_2 + \alpha_1, y_1, 0),$$
$$A_2 = (x_2, y_2 - \alpha_2, 0), \quad A_3 = (x_1 - \alpha_3, y_2, 0).$$




FIGURE 1. A five-point set $K$ together with five rank-one lines in $L(K)$. The five points consist of the $T_4$ configuration $(-1,3,0)$, $(3,1,0)$, $(1,-3,0)$, $(-3,-1,0)$ and the point $(-1,-1,1)$. The dashed lines indicate rank-one lines in $L(K)$, and all the intersections are at midpoints. The rank-one lines spiral toward the diagonal plane, which makes $L(K)$ non-compact.

For $i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ let

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\det(A_i - A_{i+1})}{\det(A_i - A_{i+1}) - \det(P_i - A_{i+1})} \in (0,1),$$

where $A_4 := A_0$. Let $X_0 = P_0 + (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ where $\xi_3 > 0$ and

$$\xi_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\alpha_3 + \sqrt{\alpha_3^2 - \frac{4\alpha_3\xi_3^2}{y_1 + \alpha_0 - y_2}}\right), \quad \xi_2 = \frac{-\xi_1(y_1 + \alpha_0 - y_2)}{\alpha_3}.$$

so that $\det(X_0 - A_0) = \det(X_0 - A_3) = 0$, and $X_0 \to P_0$ as $\xi_3 \to 0$. The fact that $\det(P_0 - A_1) > 0 > \det(A_0 - A_1)$ means that

$$\det(X_0 - A_1) > 0 > \det(A_0 - A_1),$$

whenever $\xi_3 \in (0, \epsilon_1)$, for some $\epsilon_1 > 0$. Set $B_0 = X_0$. For $i = 0,1,2,3$ and $B_i$ with

$$\det(B_i - A_{i+1}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{sgn}\det(B_i - A_{i+1}) \neq \operatorname{sgn}\det(A_i - A_{i+1}),$$

let

$$B_{i+1} = (1 - t_i)A_i + t_i B_i, \text{ where } t_i = \frac{\det(A_i - A_{i+1})}{\det(A_i - A_{i+1}) - \det(B_i - A_{i+1})} \in (0,1),$$

so that $\det(B_{i+1} - A_{i+1}) = 0$. By induction $t_i \to \lambda_i$ as $\xi_3 \to 0$ for $i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, $B_i \to P_{i \bmod 4}$ as $\xi_3 \to 0$ for each $i \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}$, and $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4$ all exist if $\xi_3$ is sufficiently small. Hence there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that $(t_0 t_1 t_2 t_3) < \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3)$

and $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4$ all exist whenever $\xi_3 \in (0, \epsilon_2)$. Put $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = B_4 - P_0$. Then since $\det(B_4 - A_0) = \det(B_4 - A_3) = 0$,

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\alpha_3 \pm \sqrt{\alpha_3^2 - \frac{4\alpha_3\eta_3^2}{y_1 + \alpha_0 - y_2}}\right), \quad \eta_2 = \frac{-\eta_1(y_1 + \alpha_0 - y_2)}{\alpha_3}. \tag{2.1}$$

But since $B_4 \to P_0$ as $\xi_3 \to 0$, there exists $\epsilon_3 > 0$ such that the sign in (2.1) is positive whenever $\xi_3 \in (0, \epsilon_3)$. Let $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$. If $\xi_3 \in (0, \epsilon)$. then

$$\eta_3 = (t_0 t_1 t_2 t_3)\xi_3 < \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3)\xi_3. \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore let $K = \{A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, X_0\}$, and set $X_1 = B_4$. Then $X_1 \in L(K)$ by the preceding working. By (2.2), iterating this process gives a sequence $X_n \in L(K)$ with $X_n \to P_0 \notin L(K)$. Hence $L(K)$ is not compact. □

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^{m\times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *rank-one convex* if

$$f(\lambda X + (1-\lambda)Y) \le \lambda f(X) + (1-\lambda)f(Y) \text{ for all } \lambda \in [0,1],$$

whenever $\operatorname{rank}(X - Y) \le 1$. The rank-one convex hull of a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is defined by

$$K^{rc} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n} : f(X) \le 0 \quad \forall \text{ rank-one convex } f \text{ with } f|_K \le 0\}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** *An ordered set $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^4 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ without rank-one connections is called a $T_4$ configuration if there exist matrices $P, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ and real numbers $\mu_1$, $\mu_2$, $\mu_3$, $\mu_4 > 1$ satisfying*

$$\operatorname{rank} C_i = 1 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le 4, \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 C_i = 0,$$

*and*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= P + \mu_1 C_1 \\ X_2 &= P + C_1 + \mu_2 C_2 \\ X_3 &= P + C_1 + C_2 + \mu_3 C_3 \\ X_4 &= P + C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + \mu_4 C_4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

*An unordered set $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is a $T_4$ configuration if it has at least one ordering which is a $T_4$ configuration.*

The following result is a slight generalisation of Theorem 1 in [5] (see also Corollary 3 in [2]). The proof is similar to the one in [5], with minor technical changes.

**Theorem 2.5.** *If $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ is compact, and does not have a $T_4$ configuration $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^4$ with at least two $X_i, X_j$ in distinct connected components of $L(K)$, then*

$$K^{rc} = \bigcup_i (U_i \cap K)^{rc} \quad \text{and} \quad K^{qc} = \bigcup_i (U_i \cap K)^{qc},$$

*where the $U_i$ are the connected components of $L(K)$.*

On diagonal matrices the conclusion reduces to $K^{rc} = L(K)$. The following proposition shows that this fails in the full space $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$.

**Proposition 2.6.** *There exists a five-point set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ with $L(K)$ connected, compact and strictly smaller than $K^{rc}$.*

*Proof.* Fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, let

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon & -1 \\ -\epsilon^2 & -\epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon & \epsilon^2 \\ 1 & -\epsilon \end{pmatrix},$$

and let

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1+2\epsilon}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon^2)}, \quad \mu_2 = 1+\epsilon^2\mu_1, \quad \mu_3 = 1+\left(\frac{1+\epsilon^2}{\epsilon}\right)\mu_2, \quad \mu_4 = 1+\epsilon^2\mu_3, \tag{2.4}$$

so that

$$\mu_1 = 1 + \frac{\mu_4}{\epsilon(1+\epsilon^2)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Set

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{\epsilon(\mu_1-1)}\begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{\mu_1\epsilon}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$P_3 = \frac{1}{\mu_2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_4 = \frac{1}{\mu_3\epsilon}\begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon^2 & -\epsilon \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and let $C_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$, where $P_5 := P_1$. Then clearly $\operatorname{rank} C_i = 1$ for all $i$, and equations 2.4 and(2.5) imply that this is a solution of (2.3). Let

$$K = \{0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}, \quad \text{so that} \quad L(K) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} [0, X_i].$$

By Lemma 2 in [5], the point $P_1$ is in $K^{rc} \setminus L(K)$, so this proves the proposition. □

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Michael Cowling and Alessandro Ottazzi for advice on this topic, and for comments on the draft.

## References

[1] Aumann, R.J., Hart, S.: Bi-convexity and bi-martingales. Israel J. Math. **54**, 159–180 (1986)
[2] Faraco, D., Székelyhidi Jr, L.: Tartar's conjecture and localization of the quasiconvex hull in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$. Acta Math. **200**(2), 279–305 (2008)
[3] Kirchheim, B.: Rigidity and geometry of microstructures. Habilitation thesis, University of Leipzig (2003)
[4] Kolář, J.: Non-compact lamination convex hulls. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **20**(3), 391–403 (2003)
[5] Székelyhidi Jr, L.: Rank-one convex hulls in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **22**, 253–281 (2005)